**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**APPELLATE SIDE.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4965 OF 2005.

The Administrator,
Primary Section,
New English School, Miraj ... Petitioner.
V/s.
Babasaheb S. Patil & ors. ... Respondents.

Shri S.R. Nargolkar for the petitioner.

Shri Rahul P. Walvekar for respondent No.1.

**CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.**

**DATED : 17.4.2006.**

**P.C. :**
**----**

. Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties.

Perused petition.

2. No case is made out to interfere with the impugned order. The view taken by the Court below is a reasonable and possible view in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner could not point out any perversity in the impugned order. In the oral submission, learned Counsel submitted that services of the respondent were terminated whereas a contrary stand has been taken in the written statement filed in the Court below saying that the complainant has not been removed from the service and that he was working with the petitioner. If that be so, the respondent-complainant was very much

entitled to claim wages which were rightly granted by the Court below.

3. The petition is devoid of any substance and the same is liable to be dismissed. costs.

4. At this stage, learned Counsel for the petitioner prayed for stay of this order. Prayer made is rejected.

5. In the result, petition is dismissed with no order as to costs.

**(V.C.DAGA,J.)**